

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,445	BURTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig E. Walter	2188	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Craig E. Walter (Examiner). (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Bruce Needham (Reg. No. 56,416). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner contacted Mr. Needham in order to address a § 101 issue identified with claim 1. Examiner additionally suggested that Applicant provide proper antecedent basis in the specification for the computer readable medium recited the preamble of claim 24. Mr. Needham provided Examiner with the appropriate corrections in the facsimile received on 4 January 2008. The amendment to the claims and specification provided in said facsimile put said Application in condition for allowance.